



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/465,600	12/17/1999	ALEX I. EYDELBERG	INTL-0304-US	9073
75	90 04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
TIMOTHY N TROP			HA, LEYNNA A	
TROP PRUNEI 8554 KATY FR	R HU & MILES PC REEWAY		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			2135	U
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.	<u> </u>		Ry
· ·	Application N	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/465,600	EYDELBERG, ALEX I.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED March 23, 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S .
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	6 Iberto.	3~	

GILBERTO BARRON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Rekavy discloses selectively loading the BIOS based on the system state by having the ability to detect and load the network BIOS initialization entry point to give control to the network BIOS and allows multitasking between the conventional BIOS and the network BIOS (see col.6, lines 36-41). Rekavy further discusses more elaborately on the selectively loading of the BIOS based on the system state that indicates a connection to a network on col.7 thru col.8. Loading and executing in the real and protected modes are just additional details of Rekavy's invention and in fact has nothing to do with Applicant's claimed language for claims 1-30. Further, the Examiner only reads on what is claimed in the claim language and gives it the broadest reasonable interpretation.